Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,924	HVARRE, JOHN NIKOLAJ
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ana M Fortuna	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Ana M Fortuna</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Eugene Lieberstein</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5/12/04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, and 9 Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		TWAS DISCUSSED
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet Part III.	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	TI WAS DISCUSSED.
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide rate record of the substance 	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
· 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: redundancy of Icim 2, depending on claim 1 was mainly discussed. Cancelation f claim 1, and amendment of claim 2, as a more complete claim, as in the attached examiner's amendment was discussed with Mr. Lieberstain. Claim 9 is amended to correct the dependency, now from claim 2 and not from canceled claim 1...